

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,473	02/26/2004	Milind Rajadhyaksha	ML-0493C	6991
24902	7590 07/14/2005		EXAM	INER
KENNETH J. LUKACHER			PUNNOOSE, ROY M	
3136 WINTON ROAD SOUTH, SUITE 204 ROCHESTER, NY 14623		204	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2877	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		401				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,473	RAJADHYAKSHA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 April 2005.					
•)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>26-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an and/or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>26-44</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including tr						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	pplication No				
. — ·	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International	•	•••				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/787,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on April 28, 2005 is acknowledged.

- 2. Applicant has amended claims 26, 27, 30, 33, 35 and 41 and has introduced new claim 44. Claims 26-44 are pending in the application.
- 3. Applicant's amendment and introduction of new claim has necessitated new grounds of rejection as detailed below.

Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 26-43 are drawn to a system for scanning tissue, classified in class 356, subclass 369.
 - II. Claim 44 is drawn to an image enhancing agent, classified in class 424, subclass64.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 5. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a cleansing agent. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. If Group I is elected, then further restriction is required.

Art Unit: 2877

1

8. Claims 26-43 are directed to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a system for scanning tissue. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

- a) Claims 33-36 are patentably distinct species comprising a system for scanning tissue with image enhancing agents.
- b) Claims 27-29 and 37-43 are patentably distinct species comprising a system for scanning tissue with brightness enhancing agents.
- c) Claims 26 and 30-32 are directed to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a system for scanning tissue without brightness enhancing or image enhancing agents.
- 9. Currently claims 26 and 30-32 are generic and will be examined with the elected species a) or b) above.
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **571-272-2427**. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.** can be reached on **571-272-2800 ext.77**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy M. Punnoose Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 July 08, 2005

Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner